



ELSEVIER

Discrete Mathematics 171 (1997) 287–293

DISCRETE
MATHEMATICS

Note

Remarks on the size of critical edge-chromatic graphs

Lane H. Clark*, Dawit Haile

Department of Mathematics, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Carbondale, IL 62901, USA

Received 5 July 1995; revised 13 February 1996

Abstract

We give new lower bounds for the size of Δ -critical edge-chromatic graphs when $6 \leq \Delta \leq 21$.

1. Introduction

All graphs we consider are undirected and have neither loops nor multiple edges. We denote the vertex set of a graph G by $V(G)$ and the edge set by $E(G)$. The order of G is $|V(G)|$ and the size of G is $|E(G)|$. We denote the degree of a vertex v in G by $d_G(v)$ and the maximum degree of G by $\Delta(G)$. A vertex of maximum degree is called a major vertex; otherwise it is a minor vertex. The number of vertices in G of degree k is denoted by $n_k = n_k(G)$. The subgraph of G induced by a subset A of vertices of G is denoted by $G[A]$. For disjoint subsets A, B of vertices of G , $E(A, B)$ denotes the set of edges one end of which is in A and the other end of which is in B . We write $e(A, B)$ for $|E(A, B)|$ and $e(v, B)$ for $e(\{v\}, B)$. Our notation and terminology generally follow [1].

The chromatic index $\chi'(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum number of colors required to color the edges of G so that no two adjacent edges receive the same color. Vizing [7] showed that $\Delta(G) \leq \chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$. A graph G is of class 1 provided that $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$, and G is of class 2 provided that $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$. We say G is Δ -critical if and only if $\Delta(G) = \Delta$, G is connected, G is of class 2 and $\chi'(G - e) < \chi'(G)$ for every edge e of G .

For a Δ -critical graph G of order n and size m , Vizing [7] conjectured that $m \geq \frac{1}{2}[(\Delta - 1)n + 3]$. Jakobsen [5] showed that $m \geq \frac{4}{3}n$ for $\Delta = 3$, thus verifying

* Corresponding author.

the conjecture. Fiorini and Wilson [3] showed that

$$m \geq \begin{cases} \frac{5}{3}n & \text{if } \Delta = 4, \\ \frac{9}{5}n & \text{if } \Delta = 5, \\ 2n & \text{if } \Delta = 6, \end{cases}$$

thus verifying the conjecture for $\Delta = 4$. Yap [8] further improved these results by showing

$$m \geq \begin{cases} 2n + 1 & \text{if } \Delta = 5, \\ \frac{1}{4}(9n + 1) & \text{if } \Delta = 6, \\ \frac{5}{2}n & \text{if } \Delta = 7. \end{cases}$$

Recently, Kayathri [6] improved the result of Yap [8] by showing $m \geq 2n + 2$ for $\Delta = 5$, thus verifying the conjecture. In general, the best bounds are due to Fiorini [2] who showed that

$$m \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(\Delta + 1)n & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{4}(\Delta + 2)n & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

In this paper we further improve the bounds of Yap [8] and Fiorini [2] with the following result.

Theorem. *Let G be a Δ -critical graph of order n and size m . For $6 \leq \Delta \leq 21$, we have*

$$m \geq f(\Delta)n,$$

where

$$f(\Delta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Delta + 1}{3} & \text{for } 6 \leq \Delta \leq 8, \\ \frac{\Delta + 4}{4} & \text{for } 9 \leq \Delta \leq 12, \\ \frac{3\Delta + 20}{14} & \text{for } 13 \leq \Delta \leq 16, \\ \frac{3\Delta + 30}{16} & \text{for } 17 \leq \Delta \leq 21. \end{cases}$$

We require the following theorems.

Theorem 1 (Fiorini and Wilson [3], and Yap [9]). *Let G be a Δ -critical graph and let $vw \in E(G)$ where $d_G(v) = k$. Then*

- (i) *if $k < \Delta$ then w is adjacent to at least $\Delta - k + 1$ major vertices of G ,*
- (ii) *if $k = \Delta$ then w is adjacent to at least two major vertices of G ,*
- (iii) *$d_G(v) + d_G(w) \geq \Delta + 2$.*

The result in (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 is known as the Vizing Adjacency Lemma (VAL).

Theorem 2 (Fiorini and Wilson [3] and Yab [9]). *A critical graph contains no cut vertex. Moreover, there are no regular Δ -critical graphs for $\Delta \geq 3$.*

2. Main result

We now fix a Δ -critical graph G with $\Delta \geq 3$. Let

$$A_k = \{v \in V(G) : d_G(v) = k\} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq \Delta; \quad |A_k| = n_k.$$

$$A_{k,l} = \{v \in A_k : e(v, A_l) = l\} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq l \leq k \leq \Delta - 1; \quad |A_{k,l}| = a_{k,l}.$$

For $2 \leq k \leq \Delta - 1$, VAL(i) and (ii) give

$$\sum_{l=2}^k a_{k,l} = n_k.$$

Now we may restate VAL(i) in terms of the notation introduced above.

Lemma 3. *If $v \in A_k$ with $2 \leq k \leq \Delta - 1$ and $vw \in E(G)$, then w is adjacent to at least $\Delta - k + 1$ major vertices, hence, $w \in A_\Delta \cup \bigcup_{\Delta-1 \geq q > r \geq \Delta-k+1} A_{q,r}$.*

Although the following result can be read out of the proof of [3, Theorem 13.5], we include its proof for completeness. See [4, Corollary 3.2.6] for an entirely different proof.

Lemma 4. *We have*

$$n_\Delta \geq \sum_{k=2}^{\Delta-1} \sum_{l=2}^k \frac{la_{k,l}}{k-1}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. For $v \in A_\Delta$, consider $(v_2, \dots, v_{\Delta-1})$ where $v_k = e(v, A_k)$ for $2 \leq k \leq \Delta - 1$. Let $T = \{(v_2, \dots, v_{\Delta-1}) : v \in A_\Delta\}$; $T^* = T - \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$; $B(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) = \{v \in A_\Delta : (v_2, \dots, v_{\Delta-1}) = (i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1})\}$ and $b(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) = |B(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1})| (\neq 0)$ for $(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \in T$. Observe that $\{B(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) : (i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \in T\}$ partitions A_Δ . For each $2 \leq k \leq \Delta - 1$,

$$\sum_{l=2}^k la_{k,l} = e(A_\Delta, A_k) = \sum_{(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \in T^*} i_k b(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1})$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\Delta-1} \sum_{l=2}^k \frac{la_{k,l}}{k-1} &= \sum_{k=2}^{\Delta-1} \sum_{(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \in T^*} \frac{i_k b(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1})}{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \in T^*} b(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \sum_{k=2}^{\Delta-1} \frac{i_k}{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \in T^*$, and let $q = q(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) = \min\{k: i_k \neq 0\}$, so that, $2 \leq q \leq \Delta-1$. Observe that for $v \in B(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1})$ with $i_q \neq 0$, there exists $vw \in E(G)$ with $w \in A_q$. By VAL(i), v is adjacent to at least $\Delta - q + 1$ major vertices, so, at most $q - 1$ minor vertices. Hence, $i_q + \dots + i_{\Delta-1} = i_2 + \dots + i_{\Delta-1} \leq q - 1$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\Delta-1} \sum_{l=2}^k \frac{la_{k,l}}{k-1} &\leq \sum_{(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \in T^*} b(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \sum_{k=q}^{\Delta-1} \frac{i_k}{q-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \in T^*} b(i_2, \dots, i_{\Delta-1}) \leq n_{\Delta}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5. For $\Delta \geq 5$, we have

$$n_{\Delta} \geq 2n_2 + 3 \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \frac{n_k}{k-1} + \frac{n_{\Delta-1}}{\Delta-3}. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let $v \in A_{k,2}$ and $vw \in E(G[V - A_{\Delta}])$. Lemma 3 implies $w \in A_{q,r}$ with $\Delta - 1 \geq q > r \geq \Delta - k + 1$. Now, Lemma 3 implies $v \in A_{s,t}$ with $\Delta - 1 \geq s > t \geq \Delta - q + 1$. Since $t = 2$, $w \in \bigcup_{\Delta-2 \geq r \geq \Delta-k+1} A_{\Delta-1,r}$, hence, $A_{k,2}$ is independent for $2 \leq k \leq \Delta - 2$. Thus, for each $3 \leq k \leq \Delta - 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k-2)a_{k,2} &= e(A_{k,2}, A_{\Delta-1}) \\ &= e\left(A_{k,2}, \bigcup_{\Delta-2 \geq r \geq \Delta-k+1} A_{\Delta-1,r}\right) \leq \sum_{l=2}^{k-1} (l-1)a_{\Delta-1, \Delta-l}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \frac{a_{k,2}}{k-1} &= \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \frac{k-2}{(k-1)(k-2)} a_{k,2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \frac{1}{(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{l=2}^{k-1} (l-1)a_{\Delta-1, \Delta-l} \\ &= \sum_{l=2}^{\Delta-3} (l-1)a_{\Delta-1, \Delta-l} \sum_{k=l}^{\Delta-3} \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{l=2}^{\Delta-3} (l-1) a_{\Delta-1, \Delta-l} \sum_{k=l}^{\Delta-3} \left[\frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{k} \right] \\
&= \sum_{l=2}^{\Delta-3} \frac{\Delta-l-2}{\Delta-3} a_{\Delta-1, \Delta-l}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

For $\Delta-3 \geq l \geq 2$, we have

$$\frac{\Delta-l}{\Delta-2} \geq \frac{\Delta-l-2}{\Delta-3} + \frac{1}{\Delta-3}. \tag{4}$$

Using (3) and (4) in (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{\Delta} &\geq 2n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \sum_{l=2}^k \frac{la_{k,l}}{k-1} + \sum_{l=2}^{\Delta-1} \frac{la_{\Delta-1,l}}{\Delta-2} \\
&= 2n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \sum_{l=2}^k \frac{la_{k,l}}{k-1} + \sum_{l=2}^{\Delta-3} \frac{(\Delta-l)a_{\Delta-1, \Delta-l}}{\Delta-2} + \sum_{l \in \{2, \Delta-1\}} \frac{la_{\Delta-1,l}}{\Delta-2} \\
&\geq 2n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \sum_{l=2}^k \frac{la_{k,l}}{k-1} + \sum_{l=2}^{\Delta-3} \frac{(\Delta-l-2)a_{\Delta-1, \Delta-l}}{\Delta-3} \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=2}^{\Delta-3} \frac{a_{\Delta-1, \Delta-l}}{\Delta-3} + \sum_{l \in \{2, \Delta-1\}} \frac{la_{\Delta-1,l}}{\Delta-2} \\
&\geq 2n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \sum_{l=3}^k \frac{la_{k,l}}{k-1} + 3 \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \frac{a_{k,2}}{k-1} + \sum_{l=2}^{\Delta-1} \frac{a_{\Delta-1,l}}{\Delta-3} \\
&\geq 2n_2 + 3 \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \sum_{l=2}^k \frac{a_{k,l}}{k-1} + \sum_{l=2}^{\Delta-1} \frac{a_{\Delta-1,l}}{\Delta-3} \\
&= 2n_2 + 3 \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \frac{n_k}{k-1} + \frac{n_{\Delta-1}}{\Delta-3}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. Let $f_2(c), f_3(c), \dots, f_{\Delta-1}(c)$ be positive, decreasing linear functions on $[0, \Delta]$ and $f_{\Delta}(c) = c$. Set $g(c) = \min_{2 \leq k \leq \Delta} \{f_k(c)\}$ for $c \in [0, \Delta]$. Then g is continuous on $[0, \Delta]$ and

$$\max_{0 \leq c \leq \Delta} g(c) = \min_{2 \leq k \leq \Delta-1} \{c: f_k(c) = f_{\Delta}(c)\}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Clearly g is continuous on $[0, \Delta]$ as it is a polygonal function. Let $f_k(c) = f_{\Delta}(c)$ at $c_k \in (0, \Delta]$. If necessary, reindex so that $c_2 \leq c_3 \leq \dots \leq c_{\Delta-1}$ (this does not change the value of g). Then $g(c) = f_{\Delta}(c)$ on $[0, c_2]$ and $g(c) \leq f_2(c)$ on $[c_2, \Delta]$. Since f_{Δ} is an increasing function and f_2 is a decreasing function on $[0, \Delta]$,

$$\max_{0 \leq c \leq \Delta} g(c) = g(c_2) = c_2 = \min_{2 \leq k \leq \Delta-1} \{c: f_k(c) = f_{\Delta}(c)\}. \quad \square$$

We now give our main result.

Theorem 7. Let G be a Δ -critical graph of order n and size m . For $6 \leq \Delta \leq 21$, we have

$$m \geq f(\Delta)n$$

where

$$f(\Delta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Delta+1}{3} & \text{for } 6 \leq \Delta \leq 8, \\ \frac{\Delta+4}{4} & \text{for } 9 \leq \Delta \leq 12, \\ \frac{3\Delta+20}{14} & \text{for } 13 \leq \Delta \leq 16, \\ \frac{3\Delta+30}{16} & \text{for } 17 \leq \Delta \leq 21. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From (2) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 2m &= \sum_{k=2}^{\Delta} kn_k \\ &= 2n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-1} kn_k + cn_{\Delta} + (\Delta - c)n_{\Delta} \\ &\geq 2n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-1} kn_k + cn_{\Delta} + (\Delta - c) \left[2n_2 + 3 \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \frac{n_k}{k-1} + \frac{n_{\Delta-1}}{\Delta-3} \right] \\ &= (2\Delta + 2 - 2c)n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\Delta-2} \frac{k^2 - k + 3\Delta - 3c}{k-1} n_k \\ &\quad + \frac{\Delta^2 - 3\Delta + 3 - c}{\Delta - 3} n_{\Delta-1} + cn_{\Delta} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for any constant $c \in [0, \Delta]$. Let $f_2(c) = 2\Delta + 2 - 2c$, $f_k(c) = (k^2 - k + 3\Delta - 3c)/(k-1)$ ($3 \leq k \leq \Delta-2$), $f_{\Delta-1}(c) = (\Delta^2 - 3\Delta + 3 - c)/(\Delta-3)$ and $f_{\Delta}(c) = c$. Letting $f_k(c) = c$ at c_k , we obtain $c_2 = (2\Delta + 2)/3$, $c_k = (k^2 - k + 3\Delta)/(k+2)$ ($3 \leq k \leq \Delta-2$) and $c_{\Delta-1} = (\Delta^2 - 3\Delta + 3)/(\Delta-2)$. Observe that $c_2 < c_{\Delta-1}$ for all real Δ . From (5), the optimal value of c is then obtained by comparing c_2 with $c_3, \dots, c_{\Delta-2}$. Analysis of each $\Delta \in \{6, \dots, 21\}$ gives $c = c_2 = (2\Delta + 2)/3$ for $6 \leq \Delta \leq 8$; $c = c_4 = (\Delta + 4)/2$ for $9 \leq \Delta \leq 12$; $c = c_5 = (3\Delta + 20)/7$ for $13 \leq \Delta \leq 16$; and $c = c_6 = (3\Delta + 30)/8$ for $17 \leq \Delta \leq 21$. Our result follows upon using these optimal values in (6). \square

Remark 8. Since vertices of degree 2 are adjacent only to major vertices, the term $2n_2$ in (2) cannot, in general, be improved. For $6 \leq \Delta \leq 8$, the optimal value of c came from $f_2(c) = f_{\Delta}(c)$. Consequently, our result there is best possible given our approach.

For $\Delta \in \{9, 10, 11, 12\}$, $\{13, 14, 15, 16\}$ or $\{17, 18, 19, 20, 21\}$, our results likely are not best possible since optimal values of c came from $f_k(c) = f_\Delta(c)$ with $k = 4, 5$, and 6 , respectively. Finally, we note that (6) also gives a new bound for $\Delta = 23$.

3. Conclusion

For $6 \leq \Delta \leq 21$, we have given new lower bounds for the size of a Δ -critical graph of order n . Moreover, our result is best possible given our approach for $6 \leq \Delta \leq 8$. Unfortunately, the method we have employed gives no information for $\Delta \geq 24$. We hope to come back to the general case in a future paper.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank both referees for their comments and suggestions which have improved the paper.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications* (North-Holland, New York, 1976).
- [2] S. Fiorini, The chromatic index of simple graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, The Open University, London, 1974.
- [3] S. Fiorini and R.J. Wilson, *Edge-Colourings of Graphs* (Pitman, San Francisco, CA, 1977).
- [4] D. Haile, Extremal results on critical edge-chromatic graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Carbondale, IL, 1995.
- [5] I.T. Jakobsen, On critical graphs with chromatic index 4, *Discrete Math.* 9 (1974) 265–276.
- [6] K. Kayathri, On the size of edge-chromatic critical graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 10 (1994) 139–144.
- [7] V.G. Vizing, On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p -graph, *Diskret. Anal.* 3 (1964) 25–30.
- [8] H.P. Yap, On graphs critical with respect to edge colorings, *Discrete Math.* 37 (1981) 289–296.
- [9] H.P. Yap, *Some Topics in Graph Theory* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1986).